

**woodside**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Tuesday, 27 May 2014 2:23 PM  
**To:** <ombudsman@ombudsman.tas.gov.au>  
**Attach:** attachment-6.pdf  
**Subject:** Attn Ms Catherine Edwards Re Review Clive Stott

[REDACTED]

27<sup>th</sup> May 2014

**Attn: Ms Catherine Edwards**  
Ombudsman Tasmania  
GPO Box 960  
Hobart  
Tasmania 7001  
[ombudsman@ombudsman.tas.gov.au](mailto:ombudsman@ombudsman.tas.gov.au)

Dear Ms Edwards,

**Review: RTI Decision in relation to Air Quality Monitoring**

Thank you for acknowledgement of my Review.

Attached please find the additional information you have asked for in relation to the solicitor's letter from the Hazelwood Inquiry.

Further information can be found at this link <http://cleanairtas.com/air-monitoring/epa-vic30.4.2014.pdf> after which EPA Victoria promptly released the information I had been asking for.

I hope this now makes more sense, but if not please do not hesitate to come back to me.

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Clive M. Stott

*Attachment - 6*

**woodside**

---

**From:** "woodside" <woodside@isage.net.au>  
**Date:** Monday, 28 April 2014 11:58 AM  
**To:** <info@hazelwoodinquiry.vic.gov.au>  
**Subject:** EPA air quality data

Good morning,

I had a telephone call from EPA Victoria on Friday to advise me they will not be publishing any further information (past or present) in relation to air quality from the Hazelwood fire on their website because the 'inquiry' had directed them not to.

Can you please advise me if this is correct, and fill me in on the reasons behind the 'directive' if it is correct?

Can you also tell me please if this 'directive' applies to information which has been sought directly from the EPA, or whether it is just in relation to what is put up on their site?

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Clive M. Stott